VISION PAPER™ No. 4

Back to the Basics

Rediscovering the Biological Foundations of Medicine

Why the Future of Medicine Begins with Cell Biology, Biochemistry and General Pathology

A Call for Scientific Humility Before Nature

Medicine has become increasingly specialized.

Biology has not.

Abstract

Medicine has never possessed more knowledge, more technology or more precision than today.

Artificial intelligence, molecular diagnostics, precision medicine and regenerative therapies are transforming healthcare at an unprecedented pace. These achievements have profoundly improved diagnosis, treatment and patient outcomes across virtually every medical discipline.

Yet despite these remarkable scientific advances, chronic diseases continue to increase worldwide. Healthcare systems remain predominantly focused on managing established pathology, while prevention frequently begins only after structural disease has already developed.

Perhaps the challenge is not a lack of scientific knowledge.

Perhaps it is fragmentation.

Modern medicine has become increasingly specialized. Biology has not.

Every living cell—whether located in the retina, brain, heart, kidney or liver—depends upon the same fundamental biological principles. Energy production, oxygen utilization, cellular communication, adaptation, repair and homeostasis are not organ-specific concepts. They represent the universal biological language that sustains life itself.

This Vision Paper proposes that future progress in medicine will depend not only on deeper specialization but also on rediscovering the biological foundations shared by every medical discipline. Returning to the principles of cell biology, biochemistry and general pathology is therefore not a step backwards. It is a necessary step towards a more integrated, preventive and biologically coherent understanding of health.

Scientific innovation and biological understanding should not compete.

They should evolve together.

1. Life Has No Specialties

Life is extraordinarily complex.

Yet it is governed by remarkably simple biological principles.

Every human being begins as a single cell. From this single cell develops an organism composed of trillions of cells, hundreds of specialized cell types and numerous highly differentiated organs. Their structures differ profoundly. Their biological foundations do not.

Medicine has always sought to understand this complexity.

As scientific knowledge expanded, specialization became inevitable. Dividing medicine into disciplines enabled physicians and scientists to study organs, diseases and therapeutic strategies with unprecedented

depth. Ophthalmology, cardiology, neurology, nephrology, endocrinology and countless other specialties have transformed healthcare and continue to improve the lives of millions of people.

Specialization is therefore not a weakness of medicine.

It is one of its greatest achievements.

Yet biology follows another logic.

Nature never divided the human body into specialties.

Every organ communicates continuously with every other organ. Hormones circulate throughout the body. Immune cells migrate between tissues. Neural networks integrate sensory information with autonomic regulation. Metabolism links every cell through a common biochemical framework.

Life functions as one integrated system.

A retinal neuron and a cortical neuron perform different functions, yet both depend upon mitochondrial energy production, intracellular communication, protein homeostasis and adaptive regulation. Cardiomyocytes, hepatocytes and renal tubular cells differ anatomically, but the biological principles governing their survival remain remarkably similar.

The organ changes.

The biological language does not.

Recognizing this distinction does not diminish specialization.

It strengthens it.

Because every specialty ultimately investigates a different expression of the same biological phenomenon:

Life

2. Every Cell Speaks the Same Biological Language

Every living organism begins with a single cell.

From this single cell emerges one of the most sophisticated biological systems known to science. Trillions of cells differentiate into highly specialized tissues and organs, each performing distinct physiological functions. Yet despite this remarkable diversity, every living cell continues to obey the same fundamental biological principles.

This observation may appear self-evident.

Its implications for medicine are profound.

For more than a century, cell biology, biochemistry and general pathology have provided the scientific foundation upon which every clinical discipline is built. Regardless of anatomical location, every cell requires energy, oxygen, nutrients and precisely regulated communication with its environment. Every cell must maintain intracellular homeostasis, respond to physiological stress, repair molecular damage and continuously adapt to changing conditions.

These mechanisms are not specific to one organ.

They define life itself.

Health begins at the cellular level long before it becomes visible at the level of organs.

Every second, billions of cells respond to fluctuations in oxygen availability, nutrient supply, hormones, inflammatory mediators, mechanical forces and environmental influences. Their ability to adapt determines whether physiological function can be preserved despite continuous biological challenges.

Homeostasis should therefore not be understood as a static condition.

It is a dynamic process of continuous regulation.

This distinction is fundamental.

Living systems do not remain healthy because nothing changes.

They remain healthy because they continuously adapt to change.

General pathology traditionally distinguishes between adaptation, reversible cellular injury and irreversible cellular injury. Although these concepts were established many decades ago, they remain among the most important principles in medicine because they describe an universal biological sequence rather than an organ-specific disease.

Long before structural pathology becomes clinically apparent, cells may already experience declining mitochondrial efficiency, impaired intracellular communication, disturbed protein homeostasis, oxidative stress or reduced repair capacity. During this phase, compensatory mechanisms often preserve normal physiological function, making these early biological alterations largely invisible in routine clinical practice.

Only when compensation gradually fails do functional disturbances become clinically detectable.

Structural damage frequently represents the final stage of biological processes that have evolved silently over many years.

From a biological perspective, disease rarely begins at the moment it becomes visible.

It begins much earlier.

Perhaps the earliest manifestations of disease are not structural at all.

Perhaps they represent the first subtle decline in the extraordinary ability of living cells to regulate themselves.

This perspective does not replace disease-specific diagnostics.

It expands them.

Instead of asking only where disease appears, it encourages us to ask how biological regulation gradually becomes impaired.

This shift in perspective may become one of the defining characteristics of future preventive medicine.

3. Health Is the Ability to Regulate

Health has traditionally been described as the absence of disease.

Although this definition has served medicine well, it explains health primarily by what is missing rather than by what is present.

Biology offers another perspective.

Living organisms are not healthy simply because no diagnosis has yet been established.

They are healthy because they continuously regulate themselves.

Every moment of life depends upon regulation.

Body temperature is regulated.

Blood pressure is regulated.

Blood glucose is regulated.

Electrolyte balance is regulated.

Hormonal activity is regulated.

Immune responses are regulated.

Sleep and circadian rhythms are regulated.

Cellular repair is regulated.

Even adaptation itself is regulated.

Health is therefore not a static condition.

It is a dynamic biological process.

Every second, billions of cells sense changes in their internal and external environment. They exchange information, adjust metabolic pathways, repair molecular damage and respond to new physiological demands. These processes occur continuously and almost entirely without conscious awareness.

Together, they create what we experience as health.

One of the most remarkable characteristics of living systems is their capacity to compensate.

When biological stress increases, protective mechanisms are activated. Cellular metabolism adjusts to changing energy demands. Hormonal networks stabilize the internal environment. The immune system responds to injury and infection. Damaged tissues initiate repair, while neighboring cells help preserve function.

For prolonged periods, these adaptive mechanisms successfully maintain physiological stability despite increasing biological challenges.

Health should therefore not be understood as the absence of stress or the absence of change.

On the contrary.

Life depends upon constant change.

Health is the ability to respond to that change while preserving biological function.

Eventually, however, adaptive capacity may begin to decline.

Compensation becomes less efficient.

Maintaining equilibrium requires increasing biological effort.

Functional disturbances begin to emerge.

Only later do structural abnormalities become clinically apparent.

Seen from this perspective, structural pathology is often not the beginning of disease.

It is the visible consequence of biological processes that have been developing over months or even years.

This distinction changes the way we think about prevention.

If health is fundamentally the preservation of biological regulation, then preventive medicine should not focus exclusively on detecting established disease. It should also strive to recognize the earliest signs that biological regulation is becoming less resilient.

This approach does not replace conventional diagnostics.

It complements them.

Rather than waiting until structure has changed, it encourages us to understand the biological processes that precede structural damage.

Health, therefore, is not defined by perfection.

Living systems are never perfect.

They constantly adapt, compensate and recover.

Health is the ability to maintain this remarkable dynamic balance throughout life.

Perhaps this is one of the most important lessons biology teaches us.

The opposite of health is not disease.

From a biological perspective, disease may often be understood as the progressive loss of the ability to regulate.

4. The Human Body Functions as One Integrated Biological System

The human body is often described organ by organ.

Biology functions differently.

No organ exists independently.

The heart cannot function without the lungs.

The brain depends upon continuous energy delivery through the cardiovascular system.

The kidneys maintain the internal environment required for every cell to survive.

The liver regulates metabolism throughout the organism.

The endocrine system coordinates physiological adaptation.

The immune system communicates continuously with virtually every tissue.

Even the extracellular matrix, once regarded primarily as structural support, actively participates in cellular signaling, tissue organization and biological regulation.

Life is sustained through interaction.

Not through independence.

Every physiological system influences countless others.

Every biological process forms part of a larger network.

The remarkable complexity of the human body therefore does not arise because organs function separately.

It arises because they function together.

This distinction becomes increasingly important as medicine continues to advance.

Scientific specialization allows us to understand organs in extraordinary detail.

Systems biology reminds us that no organ can be understood completely without considering the organism to which it belongs.

Depth and integration are therefore not competing concepts.

They are complementary.

The future of medicine requires both.

Understanding the molecular mechanisms of disease will remain essential.

Understanding how these mechanisms interact across the organism may become equally important.

This perspective also changes the way we think about prevention.

Traditionally, medicine identifies disease once structural abnormalities become detectable within a particular organ.

An integrated biological perspective encourages an additional question.

What changes occurred before structure changed?

What happened while cells were still adapting?

What biological signals reflected the gradual decline in physiological resilience?

These questions move prevention closer to the biology of health rather than solely to the pathology of disease.

They do not replace established diagnostic pathways.

They broaden them.

Within this biological framework, every organ reflects the same universal principles of cellular life.

Some organs, however, allow these principles to be observed more directly than others.

The eye is one such organ.

Unlike most tissues, the retina and optic nerve can be examined repeatedly, non-invasively and with remarkable structural resolution throughout life.

Retinal neurons depend upon uninterrupted energy production.

Their function is influenced by oxygen availability, vascular regulation, metabolism, inflammation and aging.

In this respect, the eye is not biologically unique.

It follows the same universal principles that govern every other organ.

Its uniqueness lies elsewhere.

It offers a rare opportunity to observe living neural and microvascular tissue directly.

For this reason, the eye should not be regarded merely as an organ of vision.

It represents a clinically accessible example of integrated human biology.

Not because it follows different biological rules.

But because it allows us to observe the same biological rules more directly than many other organs.

Perhaps this is its greatest contribution to future medicine.

Not to stand apart from biology—

but to remind us that it has always been part of it.

5. Back to the Basics

Every generation of physicians has benefited from the scientific discoveries of those who came before them.

Anatomy provided structure.

Physiology explained function.

Biochemistry revealed molecular interactions.

Cell biology uncovered the fundamental mechanisms of life.

General pathology demonstrated how health gradually gives way to disease.

Modern medicine has expanded this knowledge through molecular genetics, advanced imaging, precision medicine, regenerative therapies and artificial intelligence.

Each of these achievements represents extraordinary scientific progress.

None of them replaces the biological principles upon which they are built.

Technology continues to evolve.

Biology remains.

Every biomarker derives its meaning from physiology.

Every diagnostic image reflects underlying cellular processes.

Every therapeutic intervention ultimately seeks to preserve or restore biological function.

No technological innovation can be fully understood without understanding the biology it measures, influences or attempts to repair.

For this reason, returning to the biological foundations of medicine is not a nostalgic view of the past.

It is an investment in the future.

Scientific progress should not lead us away from first principles.

It should deepen our understanding of them.

The future of medicine will undoubtedly become increasingly digital, increasingly precise and increasingly individualized.

At the same time, it should remain firmly connected to the universal biological principles shared by every living cell.

Scientific humility therefore becomes an essential companion to scientific innovation.

The more deeply we explore biology, the more clearly we recognize the extraordinary complexity of life and the remarkable elegance of its underlying principles.

Humility does not limit scientific ambition.

It strengthens it.

It reminds us that discovery begins with observation, that innovation grows from understanding and that every technological advance remains meaningful only when grounded in biological reality.

Perhaps the next great step in medicine will not arise solely from discovering entirely new mechanisms.

Perhaps it will also arise from recognizing the biological connections that have always existed but have gradually become obscured by increasing specialization.

Medicine has become increasingly specialized.

Biology has not.

The future of medicine will therefore depend not only upon how deeply we understand individual disciplines, but also upon how clearly we understand the biological principles they all share.

Because every specialty ultimately studies the same phenomenon.

Life.

Final Reflection

For centuries, medicine has advanced by looking deeper.

We explored organs.

Then tissues.

Then cells.

Then molecules.

Then genes.

Today, we can observe biology with a level of precision that previous generations could scarcely imagine.

Yet one fundamental truth has remained unchanged.

Life has always functioned as an integrated biological system.

Every cell.

Every tissue.

Every organ.

Every physiological process.

Connected.

Perhaps the next chapter of medicine will not begin by dividing biology even further.

Perhaps it will begin by reconnecting it.

Not by abandoning specialization.

But by complementing it with a deeper understanding of the biological foundations shared by every discipline.

Scientific progress and biological understanding should evolve together.

One drives innovation.

The other provides direction.

The future of medicine will not be built by leaving biology behind.

It will be built by understanding it more deeply than ever before.

Conceptual Note

This Vision Paper presents a conceptual perspective intended to stimulate interdisciplinary scientific discussion. It does not propose a new disease model, redefine established pathology or replace evidence-based clinical practice. Instead, it highlights universal biological principles that may support future preventive, integrative and systems-oriented approaches to medicine.

References

1. Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J, et al. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 7th ed. Garland Science;
2022.
2. Kumar V, Abbas AK, Aster JC. Robbins & Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease. 11th ed. Elsevier;
2020.
3. Hall JE. Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology. 15th ed. Elsevier;
2023.
4. Lodish H, Berk A, Kaiser CA, et al. Molecular Cell Biology. 9th ed. W.H. Freeman;
2021.
5. Berg JM, Tymoczko JL, Gatto GJ, Stryer L. Biochemistry. 9th ed. W.H. Freeman;
2019.
6. Nelson DL, Cox MM. Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry. 8th ed. W.H. Freeman;
2021.
7. Cannon WB. The Wisdom of the Body. W.W. Norton & Company;
1932.
8. Selye H. The Stress of Life. McGraw-Hill;
1956.
9. Kitano H. Systems biology: A brief overview. Science. 2002;295:1662–
1664.
10. Kitano H. Biological robustness. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2004;5:826–
837.
11. López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The Hallmarks of Aging. Cell. 2013;153:1194–
1217.
12. López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, et al. Hallmarks of Aging: An Expanding Universe. Cell. 2023;186:243–
278.
13. Kennedy BK, Berger SL, Brunet A, et al. Geroscience: Linking Aging to Chronic Disease. Cell. 2014;159:709–
713.
14. Kotas ME, Medzhitov R. Homeostasis, Inflammation, and Disease Susceptibility. Cell. 2015;160:816–
827.
15. Sterling P, Eyer J. Allostasis: A New Paradigm to Explain Arousal Pathology. In: Handbook of Life Stress, Cognition and Health. Wiley;
1988.
16. Friston K. The Free-Energy Principle: A Unified Brain Theory? Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2010;11:127–
138.
17. Hood L, Friend SH. Predictive, Preventive, Personalized and Participatory (P4) Medicine. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. 2011;8:184–

187.
18. Topol EJ. Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again. Basic Books;
2019.
19. World Health Organization. Constitution of the World Health Organization. Geneva: WHO;
1948.
20. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Systems Approaches to Improve Patient Care. National Academies Press.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dr. Sylvia Paulig is an ophthalmic surgeon, researcher, entrepreneur, and founder of PAULIG EYE & HEALTH and the Paulig Research Institute. Her work focuses on preventive medicine, longevity, vision health, and the integration of scientific innovation with human-centered healthcare. She is the founder of VISION PAPER™, a platform dedicated to connecting ideas across disciplines and building bridges between science, technology, leadership, and society.

© 2026 Sylvia Paulig · VISION PAPER™ · All rights reserved.